

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,256	10/22/2003	Bret M. Berry	31132.118	5450
46333	7590 05/05/2005		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN ST			STEWART, ALVIN J	
SUITE 3100	· -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, T	X 75202		3738	
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<u> </u>	P		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/691,256	BERRY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin J Stewart	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply	VIC CET TO EVOIDE AMONTH	(S) EDOM			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 F	ebruary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the ments is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 24-34,40-44 and 46-61 is/are pending	g in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>24-34,40-44 and 46-61</u> is/are rejected	d.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicate in the second	ion No ed in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 24-26, 28-34, 40-41, 44, 46-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Saggar US Patent 5,702,455.

Saggar discloses intervertebral implant comprising a first tubular body (1), a plurality of endplates (2 & 3) having an end surface (9) and a tubular portion (5 & 5') and a basket (17) disposed within at least one of the threaded bores (7). The basket is capable of receiving graft material between the holes (18) of the basket (17). The tubular bodies have openings (6 & 6') and graft material (see col. 1, lines 33-38).

Regarding claim 30, the apertures (18) extends over more than 50% of the basket thickness.

Claims 24-27, 31-33, 40-44, 46-47, 49-52, 54, 55, 58, 59 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rabbe et al US Patent 5,776,197.

Rabbe et al discloses intervertebral implant comprising a first tubular body (21), a plurality of endplates (22) having an end surface and a tubular portion and a basket (23) disposed within at least one of the bores. The inner surface of the basket is capable of receiving graft material (see col. 7, lines 1-9 and 25-31) and having a tab (50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 53 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabbe et al US Patent 5,776,197.

Rabbe et al discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Rabbe et al does not disclose a graft containment device comprising a resorbable material.

It has been held that a claim is anticipated if each element of the claim is found, either expressly described or under principles of inherency, in a single prior art reference, or that the claimed invention was previously known or embodied in a single prior art device or practice. Kalman vs. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 218 USPQ 789.

Rabbe et al discloses a graft material that promote the growth of bone material within the graft containment, therefore, it is an inherent characteristic of these materials to be resorbable.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 24-57 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 4

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,256

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Alvin J Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

A. Sana?

ALVIN J. STEWART

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 3738

May 02, 2005.